



ZIONSVILLE PLAN COMMISSION MEETING RESULTS
Tuesday, January 17, 2017

The Regular meeting of the Zionsville Plan Commission was scheduled for **Tuesday**, January 17, 2017, at 7:00 p.m. in the Beverly Harves Meeting Room at Zionsville Town Hall, 1100 West Oak Street.
 The following items were scheduled for consideration:

- I. Pledge of Allegiance
- II. Attendance
- III. Swearing in of new members
- IV. Election/Appointment of 2017 Officers/Representatives
- V. Approval of the December 19, 2016 Plan Commission Meeting Minutes-Approved
- VI. Continued Business

Docket Number	Name	Address of Project	Item to be Considered
2016-47-PP	200 West	165, 235 W. Sycamore Street	Continued from January 17, 2017, November 21, 2016, October 17, 2016, and September 19, 2016 Plan Commission meeting, to the March 20, 2017 Plan Commission Meeting 7 in Favor 0 Opposed Petition for Primary Plat approval to establish (2) two lots in the (B2) and (B3) Urban Business Zoning Districts
2016-48-DP	200 West	165, 235 W. Sycamore Street	Continued from January 17, 2017, November 21, 2016, October 17, 2016, and September 19, 2016 Plan Commission meeting, to the March 20, 2017 Plan Commission Meeting 7 in Favor 0 Opposed Petition for Development Plan Approval to provide for (2) two, commercial structures with office uses on the frontage of the site in the (B2) and (B3) Urban Business Zoning Districts

VII. New Business

Docket Number	Name	Address of Project	Item to be Considered
2016-60-DP	J Dearing	10890 Bennett Parkway	WITHDRAWN Petition for Development Plan Approval in allow for the construction of 46,430 sq. ft. Commercial Building in the (I-ORT) Office/Research/Technology/Industrial Zoning District
2016-62-MPR	Zionsville Redevelopment Commission	Declaratory Resolution 2017-01	Approved with Amendments 7 in Favor 0 Opposed Declaratory Resolution declaring an area in the Town of Zionsville as an Economic Development Area, an allocation area, and approving an Economic Development Plan for said Economic Development Area

VIII: Other Matters to be Considered

Docket Number	Name	Address of Project	Item to be Considered
			Staff to provide change in policy /affirmation of the current policy updates, if available Addresses: Accessory Structures and a separate address from a Primary Structure

Respectfully Submitted:

Wayne DeLong, AICP

Director of Planning and Economic Development

Town of Zionsville

Zionsville Plan Commission

January 17, 2017

In attendance: David Franz, Josh Fedor, Franklin McClelland, Sharon Walker, Larry Jones, Michael Rinebold, and Mary Grabianowski.

Staff attending: Wayne DeLong, Carol Sparks Drake, attorney.
A quorum is present.

Franz Welcome to the Plan Commission for Tuesday, January 17, 2017. Please rise and start with the Pledge of Allegiance.

All Pledge of Allegiance.

Franz Will the Secretary please take attendance?

DeLong Mr. Franz?

Franz Present.

DeLong Mr. Jones?

Jones Present.

DeLong Ms. Walker?

Walker Present.

DeLong Mr. McClelland?

McClelland Present.

DeLong Mr. Fedor?

Fedor Present.

DeLong Welcome two new members. Mr. Rinebold?

Rinebold Present.

DeLong Ms. Grabianowski?

Grabianowski Present.

Franz All right with that we do have two new members, so they will need to be sworn in, and I believe the Mayor is here to do that.

Haak So this is one of those I-state-your-name things, so don't say state your name. So raise your right hand. I, state your name,

New Members Rinebold, Grabianowski.

Haak Do solemnly swear that I will support the Constitution of the United States of America.

New Members Do solemnly swear that I will support the Constitution of the United States of America.

Haak The Constitution of the State of Indiana.

New Members The Constitution of the State of Indiana.

Haak And the ordinances of the Town of Zionsville. Indiana.

New Members And the ordinances of the Town of Zionsville, Indiana.

Haak I will faithfully, honestly, and impartially --

New members I will faithfully, honestly, and impartially –

Haak Discharge all my official duties –

New members Discharge all my official duties --

Haak As a member of the Plan Commission –

New Members As a member of the Plan Commission –

Haak For the Town of Zionsville. Indiana –

New Members For the Town of Zionsville. Indiana –

Haak Without malice and to the best of my skill and ability so help me God.

New Members Without malice and to the best of my skill and ability so help me God.

Haak Great. Congratulations.

Franz With that I would like to welcome you to the Plan Commission and once again I'd like to thank the two members who stepped down, Kevin Schiferl and Jay Parks. With that we have the election and appointment of 2017 officers and representatives. Are there any nominations for President?

Fedor I nominate Dave Franz as President.

Franz Is there a second?

Jones Second.

Franz All in favor signify by aye.

All Aye.

Franz Opposed? I guess I will be President again this year. Now we have the office of Vice President to nominate.

Walker I would move to nominate Josh Fedor for Vice President.

Franz Is there a second?

Jones Second.

Franz All in favor signify by aye.

All Aye.

Franz Opposed by nay. Congratulations Josh, you're Vice President. We have two appointments, one to the BZA and then the Secretary. Larry Jones served on the BZA. Larry, would you mind being the appointee for that again?

Jones Yes, I'll accept.

Franz Thank you. I guess we need a motion to appoint Larry as the BZA representative.

Fedor So moved.

Franz Is there a second?

McClelland Second.

Franz All in favor signify by aye.

All Aye.

Franz Opposed by nay. Motion carries. And then an appointment for Secretary. Wayne, would you care to continue doing that for us?

DeLong I will continue to serve as secretary.

Franz Can we have a motion for that?

McClelland So moved.

Franz Is there a second?

Jones Second.

Franz All in favor signify by aye.

All Aye.

Franz Opposed by nay. Motion carries. In your packet you received a set of minutes from the last meeting of December 19. Are there any comments, additions, deletions to that? I noticed on there, there's a correction in one of them I was referenced as Frank and it's Franz, so I don't know if that, and that was on page 9. Other than that I didn't have any. Anybody else have anything else? If not, is there a motion to approve the minutes?

Walker I would move that we approve the minutes with the correction on the last name on page 9.

Franz Is there a second?

Fedor Second.

Franz All in favor signify by aye.

All Aye.

Franz Opposed nay. Motion carries. And with that we're on to continued business. Docket #2016-47-PP and 2016-48-DP, 200 West, 125, 165, 235 West Sycamore Street for primary plat approval and development plan approval. Is there a representative?

Ochs Yes, good evening. For the record, Tim Ochs representing the petitioner 200 West. I am going to probably, note this has been hanging out there for some time, and we had a two-month continuance, but as much as I don't like to ask for one final 30-day continuance, a couple of things. I think the design team here has finally been able to convince the developer that if this is going to move forward we need to have all of our ducks in a row and do this the right way and as part of that process, first, we had a piece of property get pulled out of the project. That necessitated a complete design change. Then we had the Town adopt an amendment to its zoning ordinance, which completely altered the parking requirements and result after the last hearing and that resulted in a complete design change. Then the holidays hit, and we wanted a little bit more work to be done, in particular, on the floodplain issue. That became, quite frankly, a bigger issue than I think the developer originally anticipated. That has resulted in a, I will tell you a completely brand new project. The plans for that new project have been submitted to the Town by, those plans prepared by David Rausch. It's now a single building. The entirety of the building is out of the floodplain and the parking has all been adjusted and it's, quite frankly, an extremely viable project, and we would have liked to reach that point a week or two ago. We just didn't quite get there, but I can assure you, and hopefully as demonstrated by what has been filed, that this is in fact moving forward, and we would like to be heard next month, so with that I'd be happy to answer any questions.

Franz Is there any questions? Does anybody have any questions up here?

Fedor There was a continuance on October 17, another one on November 21, and if I remember on November 21 we gave you guys 60 days on this.

- Ochs You did, yes.
- Fedor And in 60 days this has changed again.
- Ochs Yes.
- Fedor And, to address some of the questions I had here---
- Ochs And in –
- Fedor I mean is 30 days going to be enough, because 60 wasn't on the last go around.
- Ochs I'm looking, actually David's here as part of the design team, so that's a great question. What's our confidence? I think the only thing outstanding at this point are some civil engineering drawings and HWC is just, as I understand it, finishing up, but –
- Rausch David Rausch, 70 East Oak Street. So the architectural work, we think, is relatively well along on the building and the general site planning, which I think is in your packet, the developer has over the past week or two I know been working with the civil engineers. We responded with the comments from TAC on the initial submittal, and I think Wayne has those if you don't, and there are a number of site and civil related questions that have to be engineered out. We do recognize that. Because of the transformation of the project, I would suspect maybe half of those comments are no longer germane because they dealt with the other parcel that's been removed. The best I can answer your question of whether 30 days is enough, is that not being a civil engineer myself, I think in general those challenges and questions are very solvable, but I can't speak what they can or can't accomplish in 30 days. I'm sorry to, you know, be evasive, but I can't answer that for them. Is it possible, sure I think so.
- McClelland Wayne, given where we are on this project, is Staff confident they'll be ready in 30 days?
- DeLong Given the amount of work that Staff has not seen it's difficult for Staff to fathom that we could get the project in, reviewed, commented on, fully corrected, and back to you in that 30-day window, but again I have no, just much like Mr. Rausch, a very poignant statement, we have no way to measure where each HWC design team if they're initially engaged, are they fully engaged? We just simply don't have an answer to that.
- Ochs Perhaps the solution here would be a 60-day continuance with notice, that's publication and by mail, with the understanding that if this isn't heard in 60 days it gets dismissed, and that way we can be sure and not waste everybody's time.
- McClelland Do you anticipate, similar to the prior project, having various meetings with the VRA and then –
- Ochs Yeah, I actually sent an email out to, I don't know if she's still the President, but the, I believe the President of the VRA, and actually spoke to them before the meeting started saying, you know, I didn't want to waste their time either by

scheduling a meeting to discuss something that was never going to happen, but I think we've reached a point in time where that meeting can go forward and so if we're continued 60 days we'll find an evening here in the next, probably 30 days, hopefully, so we can have time to digest what they say, to have that meeting. So we have commenced discussions to schedule that.

McClelland Thanks.

Jones I guess we ought to make sure it's noticed, that this is actually the third continuance, and technically we're not supposed to give more than two continuances. Is that correct Carol?

Drake You're not to give more than two unless the petitioner convinces you there is good cause for an additional continuance.

Jones And to a certain extent, while I can understand that there's been some changes in the properties that are, you know, under contract that affect the development, you know the first round that we were presented was a little bit, I think a polite term would be to describe it as broad in its request, hence really it didn't really move forward. Once again, as long as there's the understanding that if we are going to grant a third continuance that that's the end.

Ochs That is completely understood.

Franz Any further comments, questions? Do we have a motion?

Fedor I move to continue Dockets 2016-47-PP and 2016-48-DP to our March 20, 2017, meeting.

Franz Is there a second?

Ochs Does that need to be with, does he need to state with notice?

Fedor With notice.

Ochs With notice. Yeah, thank you.

Franz Is there a second to that?

Walker I'll second.

Jones Can we amend that to make sure we do include within the motion that failure to present it that night, it will be dismissed?

Franz Do the motioner and the second accept that modification?

Fedor Yes.

Walker Yes.

Franz All right. With that amendment, all in favor signify by aye.

- All Aye.
- Franz Those opposed by nay. Motion carries. You have the continuance.
- Ochs Thank you.
- Franz Thank you. Next item on the docket is 2016-62-MPR, Zionsville Redevelopment Commission Declaratory Resolution declaring an area in the Town of Zionsville as an economic development area and allocation area and approving an economic development plan for said economic developed area. Is a representative here?
- DeLong Yes, Mr. Starkey is here as well as a representative of MetroNet. Just very briefly, this is an action that is brought to you this evening as part of a four-step process once every six months. At this point you're seeing these types of resolutions that come to you as actions from the RDC for your consideration.
- Starkey Thanks Wayne. Richard Starkey of the law firm Barnes and Thornburg representing the Town and the bond process for MetroNet. So the resolution that's before you, as Wayne said, is a resolution that's required under the statute for creating a TIF area. This TIF area is a little bit unique in that it consists solely of wherever fiber optic line is going to be laid by Metro Fibernet. That line is actually taxed as personal property on a per linear foot, and as it's taxed it will become increment and then the bond that's issued by the Town will be repaid by that increment from the property taxes that they pay, and again, this is even a little bit unique bond in that there's actually no cash that's transferred, but rather the bond is given to Metro Fibernet as an incentive as they put capital into the ground in the sense of fiber and that fiber then generates increment, and it's used to pay back, as they pay the taxes it's used to pay back the bond that MetroNet now holds. Steve Biggerstaff of Metro Fibernet is here. You may want to hear, I assume maybe something about the project and what exactly they're doing and if so, Steve is here to answer those questions.
- Biggerstaff Steve Biggerstaff, MetroNet and we are, MetroNet is an Indiana-based company. We're privately held. We're headquartered out of Evansville, and we're building fiber to the premise, which is taking glass to the house, glass to the business, in like about 25 communities throughout Indiana. The first one we started was in 2005, and we have had a strong interest in Zionsville for some time and working with the city we have proposed this project to build a substantial portion of Zionsville initially and then to expand out even further into the more rural areas of the town. What this is, is a fiber to the home. It's very high speed internet. We offer services to the home up to a gig. We offer TV and phone service as well. And it's glass to the house, so there's no degradation as a result of copper. There's no copper in our system, so it's very high speed, latest state of the art, economic development infrastructure, and there are really a very few places throughout the whole United States that has this kind of infrastructure. The numbers I have seen are like 8 percent or less. So we're excited about coming here and are anxious to work with the community. The town has been very supportive, and we're just looking forward to getting started with the project.
- Franz Okay, thank you.

- Jones Can I get a quick question?
- Biggerstaff Yes.
- Jones So your customers then, the equipment to convert from fiber to I'm assuming either coax or like CAT5 service through the home, do you guys provide that conversion piece as part of signing up clients?
- Biggerstaff With CAT5, we can tie directly into CAT5 through our box we put on the side of the house. If it's old coax it was going to have to be replaced. Typically what we've migrated to is putting a wireless router so we don't have to do any rewiring, with fast enough speed that we don't degradate the product coming into the home. So our preference is wireless router at this point, and we have the routers.
- Jones Is that just part of the fixed monthly fee for the service or is it actually like a charge to the client to actually have this stuff brought to their home?
- Biggerstaff I think if we have, that's a good question. I'm going to have to find out. I don't know if we, there's probably a nominal charge for the router because the customer could also put in their own router.
- Jones And then your client contracts go for, are they one year, one month, 10 years?
- Biggerstaff It's month by month. We like to say we earn your service everyday.
- Franz Any other questions, comments?
- McClelland So just so I'm clear. You're building that last mile, the process by which you're going the last 100 feet is with the customer making that decision? Are we giving you the right to do that and then they decide if they want the service?
- Biggerstaff If I understood what you said, basically the service drop into the house, what was the question about the service drop? Being do we put it in, is that the question?
- McClelland What's the process by which –
- Biggerstaff Oh, okay. What we'll do, it's really a good question. What we'll do is the first part of the process we will put in the conduit throughout the neighborhoods for where it's underground, and in those neighborhoods we will either put a pedestal, like a telephone pedestal, but most locations will have what's called, what we call a flower pot. It's a flush mounted device that goes down about 18 inches. We bring the fiber into it. That can serve two homes. One that is split the lot line with. So we'll put that in. We'll put the flower pots in. We'll put the pedestals in and then we'll come in after that's done we'll pull the fiber, and once that fiber is in we light it and then once it's lit then we will advertise that we're able to serve customers in that neighborhood, and then we will start taking orders for service. You take service. You, basically, you sign up for it month by month. What we'll do is come and put in the service drop, put the outdoor unit on the

side of your house and then terminate it and terminate it either into your equipment or terminate it into a wireless router.

McClelland At no charge, no charge?

Biggerstaff Yeah, thank you. No charge for the installation.

Jones And so the map that you've provided, the red, the green, the blues, are those areas that are kind of off limits to you guys working in or what are we actually seeing here?

Biggerstaff I'm sorry.

Jones The –

Biggerstaff Oh the map, oh yeah okay. Thank you Wayne.

Walker I have the same question.

Biggerstaff And what was the question?

Jones So is the areas that are shown as AT&T and TDS –

Biggerstaff Oh, the red? The red is TDS, and the blue is AT&T. Those areas, the blue currently has fiber from AT&T to the premise, and I have verified that in everyone of those locations, so those areas would not be, where there's existing fiber to the home, because that, our understanding the goal is lets get fiber to the home in Zionsville, so if it has fiber to the home it will not be, more than likely will not be in our initial service build area; however, what we will do is see what the level of interest is in those areas and if the level of interest is high enough we'll go ahead and overbuild fiber over fiber. Not too many people do that. AT&T and Google where they're at they're not building fiber over fiber. Google is not building over AT&T fiber, what they call giga power, and the same thing for AT&T. They, really in Kansas City and Austin, they sort of stake different areas of the community. But we think, we feel if there's an interest why wouldn't we.

Walker How about the red, because I know there's fiber optics in part of that.

Biggerstaff That's TDS.

Walker Yeah, I know, I'm on TDS.

Biggerstaff So we would do the same thing.

Walker Same thing.

Biggerstaff Yes.

Walker Okay.

- McClelland And what's your penetration rate in your other communities and what's in the model in Zionsville?
- Biggerstaff Well, that's proprietary information in terms of what our penetration rate is and, but I will tell you we will hit 40 percent fairly quickly in most of the communities that we're in.
- McClelland So do the economics, where do the economics turn upside down for you guys?
- Biggerstaff That's really a good question. The economics are this, fiber is extremely capital intensive, extremely capital intensive. That's the reason we don't have much of it today because it's just hard to make the model work. Being a privately held company we look at it a little bit longer term than what Wall Street would look at it and so, and then to make it work on top of that is the TIF that we come in and ask for, and we have pretty unique ways on how we build that try to cut our costs. You know if it was easy, if it was very economical to do we would all have it today. It's a tough model to make work. It's tough enough that Google is backing down on some of their builds.
- McClelland So maybe asked a slightly different way, recognizing that you are backing the bond and the town in theory, is not liable, but you know things happen, is there an adopt rate over a long period of time that you, if you don't hit, everything goes sideways?
- Biggerstaff Well we haven't had –
- McClelland Do you need 15-20 percent or do you need 40 percent or just ballpark would be helpful.
- Biggerstaff We have varying degrees of percentage today that cover a fairly wide market, and it's a profitable system. We've found that over the 10 years that we've been doing this we've made this model work when others have a very difficult time doing it. We've been very successful. We're adding significantly to our communities, we passed about 155,000 homes and businesses today. In two years we hope to have that up to 500,000. We're now serving customers in Illinois, and we're looking at other markets as well, so we're on a very high expansion and the models look so good to some of our investors that we have private equity and the private equity is they basically want us to build quicker, quicker, so we feel like we've made this thing work. I don't see us going sideways. I mean anything can happen to anybody at any time, but we're really pretty proud of what we've been able to do in these communities.
- McClelland Last financial question if I could. Who's your private equity, main private equity, backer?
- Biggerstaff Pardon?
- McClelland What firm is your main private equity backer?
- Biggerstaff Yeah, it's Oak Hill.

McClelland Okay, thank you.

Fedor A couple of quick questions. I called around, just out of curiosity what the rates were for similar services in the community, one of the ones on this map here. I won't say which one, but in your presentation you showed that one gig is going to be \$100.00 a month. That's significantly less than some of the competition in the area.

Biggerstaff I know.

Fedor Is that a, why so much less than some of the competition here, I guess?

Biggerstaff Well first of all, I don't know if anybody else is selling a gig.

Fedor They had both a gig and a 50, and you were well under what their gig was. I won't –

Biggerstaff We make it work.

Fedor Okay.

Biggerstaff And actually –

Fedor I think it's great.

Biggerstaff And actually we have a special I think on --

Fedor I was just curious –

Biggerstaff The gig now that's less than 80, maybe, I think there's like a \$69.00 product for a couple of years, so you know we really feel that's where it's going. We think it's going to the gig service. Streaming and all the devices that we have and wanting, and really our demand for quality and clearness in pictures and quickness in speed is going to continue to drive it, so to us it's really important to get that gig product out, and we're certainly encouraging people to take that. About the customers, the gig customers we have take no other services, so they're streaming everything.

Jones Just kind of a related question, so when it comes to new subdivision development, are you guys putting your conduit in then at the same time the rest of the public utilities go in? You know the gas, the water, electric, and then you know whatever.

Biggerstaff We want to joint trench.

Jones Yeah.

Biggerstaff And to put our duct in when everybody's putting the duct in. It's so much cheaper to do that. Directional boring is a very costly way to do it, so if we can put it in initially with the other utilities. Now there may be some situations where we won't. I don't know what those are. We look at each one case by

- case. We typically go to TAC meetings, work with the community, and work with developers to do that.
- ? Most everything we're putting in outside our initial build area, new subdivisions in Westfield, has been joint trenched including Chatham Hills.
- Jones So just kind of, just for personal information, is there any kind of pushback from, who would it be to typically drop the phone cable and coax in the ground as part of the development? I'm wondering at some point do those groups start saying to the developers that if you want us you're going to have to pay for us? Whereas before they use to do it for free.
- Biggerstaff Well we provide the material, and we share in the cost of the joint trench, and it still makes substantial sense then if we would have to come back in later to directionally bore. So we bear that cost.
- Franz This is, and I'm just curious, how many miles of fiber is it going to be in Zionsville? Do you get the number on that? I'm curious.
- Biggerstaff Somebody has that number. If I were to guess, based on our other communities, it's going to be 300, 400 miles of fiber. Like if you look at Lafayette and West Lafayette it's well over, I think it's a couple thousand miles of fiber.
- Franz Any further questions, comments? Is there a Staff Report on this Wayne? I guess you guys are for it?
- DeLong Staff has not prepared a formal report for you this evening, assembled the documents. This group has been working with this topic with the Town for nearly a year. Certainly the PowerPoint presentation speaks to the project itself. Some of the details of that you will catch in there is the initial role out timeframe of 12 to 18 months. After the presentation this morning with the Town Council, which I think may be this group's third meeting with the Town Council working through this item, it was discussed that springtime would be the time that we would essentially see some work. And the RDC, as well, is engaged with this project and is working to provide a very small piece of land for MetroNet to construct its path via the license agreement. With all that said, certainly Staff is supportive of this request. Certainly supportive of this aspect of economic development for the community as well as microlevel at the home and much larger level with our entities that are here now and will be here another time. Again, Staff is supportive of this request. Thank you for consideration.
- Franz All right. I'll entertain a motion. Is there any, did you have a correction on this, Carol?
- Drake Yes, in reviewing Resolution 2016-04, which the Redevelopment Commission adopted, if you look on page 4, paragraph 17, Johnson County Auditor should, I believe, be Boone County Auditor, so if satisfactory to bond counsel I'm going to revise your resolution slightly to incorporate the following changes. In your numbered paragraphs, Item 2 should be, "The Declaratory Resolution and the Plan for the Economic Development Area are hereby approved, provided the Redevelopment Commission amends Section 17 of the Declaratory Resolution to

replace Johnson County Auditor with Boone County Auditor.” Paragraph 3 would be similarly revised to, “This Resolution hereby constitutes the written order of the Plan Commission approving the Declaratory Resolution and the Plan for the Economic Development Area pursuant to Section 16 of the Act provided the Declaratory Resolution is amended as set forth above in paragraph 2”, or similar language, and then paragraph 4 would be similarly revised to recognize the amendment.

Franz So any motion that we make would be subject to the adoption of the amendments you’ve cited?

Drake It would recognize that those amendments should be incorporated into the draft Resolution.

Franz Okay. All right, with that do we have a motion?

DeLong I’m sorry did you –

Franz No, I was just saying do we have a motion?

McClelland So as it relates to Docket #2016-62-MPR, I move that we approve the Declaratory Resolution as stated in the, as written, with the amendments as proposed.

Franz Is there a second?

Drake I guess, I think what you really want to do is approve the Resolution that’s before you, which in essence approves the adoption of the Declaratory Resolution. There’s a Plan Commission Resolution before you.

McClelland Yeah, so Docket 2016-16-MPR, I move that we approve the Resolution as amended, 2016-04 again as amended.

DeLong And just noting for the record, this would be known as Resolution 2017–01.

McClelland 2017 see this is, I’ll try a third time. I move that Docket #2016-62-MPR, I move that we approve Resolution #2017-01, as amended.

Jones Second.

Franz All in favor signify by aye.

All Aye.

Franz Opposed by nay. Motion carries.

Biggerstaff Thank you.

Franz Thank you. No other matters at hand. Wayne?

DeLong We do have the other matters to be considered.

Franz Okay.

DeLong A quick address item and certainly something that may be for discussion at another time. Once every six months or so we bring you an addressing project and as you will recall the last discussion was related to Whitestown Road, or I'm sorry, the change-out in the Whitestown area. The Plan Commission has the statutory authority over addresses and there are times where the Staff has received an addressing request that runs differently than how the Town has handled these requests before, and certainly as the Plan Commission has this authority I wanted to bring something just to your attention. We do get some requests from time to time for the addressing of barns on individual's properties. This generally has not been something we have looked at favorably, just the proliferation of addresses in the 911 system, the potential for confusion, the amount of extra work this could potentially cause, let alone the amount of mailboxes that have the potential to receive mail as well as potential damage during snow events isn't something that Staff looks to encourage. Sometimes we get requests that are so interested in their particular request we feel that it is time to bring it to your attention to either have a more robust discussion about policy related to this topic to either affirm Staff's current position of one address per property, two addresses if it's a corner lot, residential we're you know discussing here, and certainly happy to explore this, gives, you know, some folks some time maybe next month we can chat about this a little more. We can bring you some correspondence from the Fire Department as to their position on this matter. Again, this isn't something that Staff looks at favorably just because of the amount of extra issues that it could cause, but certainly don't want to just be dismissive of any particular request. So, anyway, just something to chew on for a future discussion.

Franz Okay, thank you. Anything else? With that I'll take a motion to adjourn.

Fedor So moved.

Franz And a second.

Walker Second.

Franz All in favor aye.

All Aye.

Franz We're adjourned.